

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Frictional quantum decoherence

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2007 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 9437

(http://iopscience.iop.org/1751-8121/40/31/019)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.144 The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 06:07

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 (2007) 9437-9453

doi:10.1088/1751-8113/40/31/019

Frictional quantum decoherence

Bruno Bellomo¹, Stephen M Barnett² and John Jeffers²

¹ Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche ed Astronomiche dell'Università di Palermo, Via Archirafi, 36, I-90123 Palermo, Italy

² Department of Physics, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G4 0NG, UK

Received 26 April 2007, in final form 19 June 2007 Published 19 July 2007 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/40/9437

Abstract

The dynamics associated with a measurement-based master equation for quantum Brownian motion are investigated. A scheme for obtaining time evolution from general initial conditions is derived. This is applied to analyse dissipation and decoherence in the evolution of both a Gaussian and a Schrödinger cat initial state. Dependence on the diffusive terms present in the master equation is discussed with reference to both the coordinate and momentum representations.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Yz, 05.40.Jc, 12.20.Ds

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

1. Introduction

The connection between quantum dissipation and decoherence is a topic of longstanding interest [1–9]. The main systems analysed in this perspective are the damped harmonic oscillator, two level systems and quantum Brownian motion. For such systems the Hamiltonian description is not appropriate, and the most successful results come from the reduced description of a particle interacting with some type of reservoir [10]. Classical understanding of the phenomenon is well established, relying on Langevin or Fokker–Planck equations obtained by considering a particle interacting with a bath of independent oscillators [11]. The quantum counterpart of classical Brownian motion, however, has only recently been cast into standard equations [12, 13].

Several approaches have been followed in order to obtain a quantum description of the dynamics of the Brownian particle:

• a model-reservoir approach, leading to the famous Caldeira and Leggett master equation, which assumes the particle to be coupled to an environment described by a collection of simple harmonic oscillators [1, 2], and into which suitable terms can be added in order to produce a satisfactory Markovian equation of the required Lindblad form [3, 4],

1751-8113/07/319437+17\$30.00 © 2007 IOP Publishing Ltd Printed in the UK

- a dynamical approach modelling more closely the random collisions between the Brownian particle and the particles that make up its surrounding environment [5–7],
- a measurement-based approach focusing on the information about the Brownian particle carried away by the particles of the medium during the collisions. This information is available, at least in principle, by monitoring the environment particles [8, 9, 12, 13].

The property of complete positivity, to be satisfied by a master equation for the reduced density operator of the particle, is a useful and stringent requirement in the study of subdynamics in quantum mechanics [14]. The various approaches are described in [12], where the results obtained with the different methods are discussed. Of particular relevance is whether or not the proposed master equations are Markovian and of Lindblad form.

Here, the approach that we use to describe the quantum Brownian particle dynamics is the measurement-based one found in [12, 13, 15]. The collisions with the surrounding particles are considered to perform a random sequence of measurements feeding information about the position and momentum of the Brownian particle into the environment. Even if both of these quantities cannot be known with total precision at the same time, it is possible to simultaneously measure position and momentum by introducing some degree of imprecision for both. Using non-quantum-limited measurement techniques to represent the acquisition of this information [16] has led to the following master equation, in the limit of frequent collisions which make very weak joint measurements of position and momentum [12]:

$$\frac{d\hat{\rho}}{dt} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\frac{\hat{p}^2}{2M}, \hat{\rho} \right] - \frac{i\gamma}{2\hbar} [\hat{x}, \{\hat{p}, \hat{\rho}\}] - \frac{D_{pp}}{\hbar^2} [\hat{x}, [\hat{x}, \hat{\rho}]] - \frac{D_{xx}}{\hbar^2} [\hat{p}, [\hat{p}, \hat{\rho}]].$$
(1)

This equation is of the required Lindblad form provided that

$$D_{pp}D_{xx} \ge (\hbar\gamma/4)^2. \tag{2}$$

Here *M* is the mass of the Brownian particle, γ is the damping coefficient while D_{pp} and D_{xx} are the diffusion coefficients given by

$$D_{pp} = \gamma \left[M k_B T + \frac{m}{M} (\Delta_{\sigma} p)^2 \right] + \frac{R \hbar^2}{8 (\Delta_{\sigma} x)^2}, \tag{3}$$

and

$$D_{xx} = \frac{R\hbar^2}{8(\Delta_\sigma p)^2}.$$
(4)

The particles forming the environment have mass m, R is the average rate of collisions and $\Delta_{\sigma} p$ and $\Delta_{\sigma} x$ represent the increase in the standard deviations due to the measurements of position and momentum over and above the intrinsic variances [12].

Satisfaction of the condition of equation (2) using equations (3) and (4) ensures that the master equation is of Lindblad form. The existence of analogous master equations obtained by other approaches, but with different expressions for D_{pp} and D_{xx} [9, 10], has been described in [12]. The Caldeira–Leggett master equation [1] is Markovian but is not of Lindblad form and this has been shown to lead to serious difficulties including, in particular, negative probabilities [13, 17, 18]. These problems do not arise for equation (1) because of the presence in D_{pp} of two new terms other than the temperature-dependent one, γMk_BT . These depend on the variances $\Delta_{\sigma} p$ and $\Delta_{\sigma} x$, and in particular the presence of a new double commutator term representing a position diffusion regulated by D_{xx} . The origin of these terms is the inherent spreading in position(momentum) which occurs when a measurement of momentum (position) is made. This point has been discussed further in [13].

The main aim of this paper is an analysis of the decoherence dynamics associated with equation (1). In particular, we find the exact solution of the master equation, and then use

this to illuminate the role of both the D_{pp} and the hitherto largely unconsidered D_{xx} terms in dissipation and decoherence. To this end, we will consider two initial states for the Brownian particle: a single Gaussian wave packet and Schrödinger cat state. By way of comparison we also consider cases where D_{pp} and D_{xx} can be varied independently, which can, for example, furnish the Caldeira–Leggett dynamics when $D_{pp} = \gamma M k_B T$ and $D_{xx} = 0$.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we solve the master equation, providing a general scheme for obtaining time evolution from general initial conditions. In section 3, we apply this scheme to two initial configurations and discuss their dynamical evolution. In section 4, we briefly consider what happens when the Lindblad condition given by equation (2) is not satisfied. In section 5, we summarize and discuss our results. In appendices A and B we collect some of the lengthier calculations.

2. Solution of the master equation

In this section, we solve the master equation by introducing a characteristic function. This procedure has been previously used to obtain a formal solution of the Caldeira–Leggett master equation and is described, for example, in [19]. The main difference here is that there is an additional term depending on the position diffusion D_{xx} .

In the position representation equation (1) takes the form

$$\frac{\partial \rho(x, x', t)}{\partial t} = \left[\frac{i\hbar}{2M} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x'^2}\right) - \frac{i\gamma}{2}(x - x') \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x'}\right) - \frac{D_{pp}}{\hbar^2}(x - x')^2 - D_{xx} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x'^2} - 2\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\partial}{\partial x'}\right)\right] \rho(x, x', t),$$
(5)

where $\rho(x, x', t) = \langle x | \rho | x' \rangle$. This second-order linear partial differential equation can be greatly simplified by moving to a (k, Δ_t) representation [20] based on introducing the characteristic function $\rho(k, \Delta_t, t)$:

$$\rho(k, \Delta_t, t) = \operatorname{tr}(\hat{D}\hat{\rho}) = \operatorname{tr}(\exp[\mathrm{i}(k\hat{x} + \Delta_t\hat{p})]\hat{\rho}) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}kx} \rho\left(x + \frac{\hbar\Delta_t}{2}, x - \frac{\hbar\Delta_t}{2}, t\right).$$
(6)

In this new representation we obtain a first-order partial differential equation in the form

$$\frac{\partial \rho(k, \Delta_t, t)}{\partial t} = \left[\frac{k}{M}\frac{\partial}{\partial \Delta_t} - \gamma \Delta_t \frac{\partial}{\partial \Delta_t} - D_{pp}\Delta_t^2 - D_{xx}k^2\right]\rho(k, \Delta_t, t).$$
(7)

In appendix A, the method of characteristics [21] is used to solve this partial differential equation exactly. The solution is

$$\rho(k, \Delta_t, t) = \rho\left(k, \Delta_t(1-\Gamma) + \frac{k}{\gamma M}\Gamma, 0\right) \exp\left\{\left[-\left(D_{xx} + \frac{D_{pp}}{M^2\gamma^2}\right)t + \frac{D_{pp}}{M^2\gamma^3}\left(\frac{\Gamma^2}{2} + \Gamma\right)\right]k^2 - \frac{D_{pp}\Gamma^2}{M\gamma^2}k\Delta_t - \frac{D_{pp}\Gamma(2-\Gamma)}{2\gamma}\Delta_t^2\right\},$$
(8)

where $\Delta_0 = \Delta_t (1 - \Gamma) + \frac{k}{\gamma M} \Gamma$ and $\Gamma = 1 - \exp(-\gamma t)$.

We can use equation (6) and its inverse to move to and from the coordinate and (k, Δ_t) representations at will, and equation (8) to obtain the time evolution in the (k, Δ_t) representation. This allows us to compute the time evolution of a general initial state in the position representation by following the procedure:

$$\rho(x, x', 0) \to \rho(k, \Delta_0, 0) \to \rho(k, \Delta_t, t) \to \rho(x, x', t).$$
(9)

In the next section, we will use this procedure to solve the master equation for two initial states which can be written in the coordinate representation as a sum of exponential terms of the form

$$\rho(x, x', 0) = \exp[-A_0(x - x')^2 - iB_0(x - x')(x + x') - C_0(x + x')^2 - iD_0(x - x') - E_0(x + x') - F_0].$$
(10)

We here apply the scheme outlined by equation (9) to obtain the time evolution for states of this kind. Using equations (6) and (8) we find the characteristic function at time *t* in the (k, Δ_t) representation to be

$$\rho(k, \Delta_t, t) = \exp\left[-a_t k^2 - \mathbf{i} b_t k \Delta_t - c_t \Delta_t^2 - \mathbf{i} d_t k - \mathbf{i} e_t \Delta_t - f_t\right],\tag{11}$$

where the various coefficients follow the time evolution given by

$$a_{t} = a_{0} + b_{0} \frac{\Gamma}{M\gamma} + c_{0} \left(\frac{\Gamma}{M\gamma}\right)^{2} + \left(D_{xx} + \frac{D_{pp}}{M^{2}\gamma^{2}}\right)t - \frac{D_{pp}}{M^{2}\gamma^{3}} \left(\frac{\Gamma^{2}}{2} + \Gamma\right),$$

$$b_{t} = b_{0}(1 - \Gamma) + c_{0} \frac{2\Gamma(1 - \Gamma)}{M\gamma} + \frac{D_{pp}\Gamma^{2}}{M\gamma^{2}}, \qquad c_{t} = c_{0}(1 - \Gamma)^{2} + \frac{D_{pp}\Gamma(2 - \Gamma)}{2\gamma}, \qquad (12)$$

$$d_{t} = d_{0} + e_{0} \frac{\Gamma}{M\gamma}, \qquad e_{t} = e_{0}(1 - \Gamma), \qquad f_{t} = f_{0},$$

and where the relation between small and capital coefficients is given by

$$a_{0} = \frac{1}{16C_{0}}, \qquad b_{0} = -\frac{B_{0}}{4C_{0}}\hbar, \qquad c_{0} = \frac{4A_{0}C_{0} + B_{0}^{2}}{4C_{0}}\hbar^{2}, \qquad d_{0} = \frac{E_{0}}{4C_{0}}$$

$$e_{0} = \frac{2C_{0}D_{0} - B_{0}E_{0}}{2C_{0}}\hbar, \qquad \exp(-f_{0}) = \exp(-F_{0})\exp\left(-\frac{E_{0}^{2}}{4C_{0}}\right)\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{C_{0}}}.$$
(13)

Transformation back to the coordinate representation equation (11) provides the density matrix

$$\rho(x, x', t) = \exp[-A_t(x - x')^2 - iB_t(x - x')(x + x') - C_t(x + x')^2 - iD_t(x - x') - E_t(x + x') - F_t],$$
(14)

with the following relationships:

$$A_{t} = \frac{4a_{t}c_{t} - b_{t}^{2}}{4\hbar^{2}a_{t}}, \qquad B_{t} = -\frac{b_{t}}{4\hbar a_{t}}, \qquad C_{t} = \frac{1}{16a_{t}}, \qquad D_{t} = \frac{2a_{t}e_{t} - b_{t}d_{t}}{2\hbar a_{t}},$$

$$E_{t} = \frac{d_{t}}{4a_{t}}, \qquad \exp(-F_{t}) = \frac{\exp(-f_{t})}{2\sqrt{\pi a_{t}}} \exp\left(-\frac{d_{t}^{2}}{4a_{t}}\right).$$
(15)

The inverse relations can also be found:

$$a_{t} = \frac{1}{16C_{t}}, \qquad b_{t} = -\frac{B_{t}}{4C_{t}}\hbar, \qquad c_{t} = \frac{4A_{t}C_{t} + B_{t}^{2}}{4C_{t}}\hbar^{2}, \qquad d_{t} = \frac{E_{t}}{4C_{t}}$$

$$e_{t} = \frac{2C_{t}D_{t} - B_{t}E_{t}}{2C_{t}}\hbar, \qquad \exp(-f_{t}) = \exp(-F_{t})\exp\left(-\frac{E_{t}^{2}}{4C_{t}}\right)\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{C_{t}}}.$$
(16)

For density matrices which correspond to superpositions of states centred on different positions, each of which is individually of the form given by equation (10), the linearity of equation (7) means that it is still possible to follow the procedure described by equation (9) and so to compute the time evolution.

3. Applications

In this section, we consider two physically interesting initial states, the simple Gaussian wave packet and a superposition of two such states, which forms a Schrödinger cat state. Using the procedure described in the preceding section to compute their time evolution, we focus on the role of the momentum and position diffusion terms, proportional to D_{pp} and D_{xx} , respectively. In particular, many of our results will be expressed in terms of these coefficients and will not depend on their explicit form (equations (3) and (4)) in terms of the physical parameters of the system. These results have a general validity, therefore for any master equation with the same form as equation (1) irrespective of the sizes of the diffusion terms. Thus, our method does not just provide the solution to equation (1), but also an infinity of other master equations whose diffusion terms do not necessarily satisfy the Lindblad condition (equation (2)). One particular example is the Caldeira–Leggett master equation obtained by putting $D_{pp} = \gamma M k_B T$ and $D_{xx} = 0$.

3.1. Single Gaussian wave packet

Consider a minimum uncertainty Gaussian wave packet centred at position $x_0 = 0$ and momentum p_0 , with initial spreads in position and momentum Δx_0 and Δp_0 which satisfy the uncertainty principle $\Delta x_0 \Delta p_0 = \frac{\hbar}{2}$,

$$\rho(x, x', 0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\Delta x_0^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x - x')^2}{8\Delta x_0^2} - \frac{(x + x')^2}{8\Delta x_0^2} + i\frac{p_0(x - x')}{\hbar}\right].$$
 (17)

By comparing this initial reduced density matrix with equation (10) at t = 0 we can identify the required coefficients as

$$A_{0} = C_{0} = \frac{1}{8\Delta x_{0}^{2}}, \qquad B_{0} = E_{0} = 0,$$

$$D_{0} = -\frac{p_{0}}{\hbar}, \qquad \exp(-F_{0}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\Delta x_{0}^{2}}}.$$
(18)

By using equation (6) we can obtain the corresponding initial condition in the (k, Δ_t) representation, which has the exponential form of equation (11) for t = 0 with

$$a_0 = \frac{\Delta x_0^2}{2}, \qquad b_0 = 0, \qquad c_0 = \frac{\Delta p_0^2}{2}, \qquad (19)$$

 $d_0 = 0, \qquad e_0 = -p_0, \qquad f_0 = 0.$

From equation (12) we next compute the time evolution of the various coefficients, and then return to the coordinate representation using equations (14) and (15). Thus, we obtain the spatial reduced density matrix at time t, from which it is also possible to obtain the corresponding density matrix in the momentum representation by double Fourier transformation. The solution provides a simple means of obtaining the time evolution of the average of \hat{x} and \hat{p} , and of their variances shown below and plotted in figure 1 for physically reasonable parameter values,

$$\langle \hat{x} \rangle_t = -d_t, \qquad \langle \hat{p} \rangle_t = -e_t, \qquad \Delta x_t^2 = 2a_t, \qquad \Delta p_t^2 = 2c_t.$$
 (20)

These equations could equally well be rewritten in terms of the capitalized coefficients using equation (16), but the evolution is given most simply in terms of the initial conditions using



Figure 1. Left: the evolution of the average of \hat{x} and \hat{p} . Right: the evolution of the variances Δx_t and Δp_t . Parameter values (in SI units): $M = 5.01 \times 10^{-22}$, $m = 5.01 \times 10^{-26}$, $k_B = 1.38 \times 10^{-23}$, $\hbar = 1.06 \times 10^{-34}$, $\gamma = 1000$, $R = \gamma M/2m = 5 \times 10^6$, T = 300K, $p_0 = 5.01 \times 10^{-26}$, $\Delta x_0 = 0.73 \times 10^{-7}$, $\Delta p_0 = 7.26 \times 10^{-28} (\Delta_{\sigma} p)^2 = (\Delta_{\sigma} x)^2 = \frac{\hbar}{2} \times n$, $n = 10^4$. These parameters remain the same in all the following figures.

equation (12). The expectation values become

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{x} \rangle_{t} &= \frac{p_{0}}{M} \frac{1 - \exp(-\gamma t)}{\gamma}, \qquad \langle \hat{p} \rangle_{t} = p_{0} \exp(-\gamma t), \\ \Delta x_{t}^{2} &= \Delta x_{0}^{2} + \frac{\Delta p_{0}^{2}}{M^{2}} \left[\frac{1 - \exp(-\gamma t)}{\gamma} \right]^{2} + 2 \left(D_{xx} + \frac{D_{pp}}{M^{2} \gamma^{2}} \right) t \\ &- \frac{D_{pp}}{M^{2} \gamma^{3}} \left[\frac{(1 - \exp(-\gamma t))^{2}}{2} + 1 - \exp(-\gamma t) \right] \\ \Delta p_{t}^{2} &= \Delta p_{0}^{2} \exp(-2\gamma t) + D_{pp} \frac{[1 - \exp(-2\gamma t)]}{\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$
(21)

As would be expected, the diffusions D_{pp} and D_{xx} do not affect the mean values of the position and momentum. Of particular interest, however, is the evolution of the variances, whose dependence on D_{pp} and D_{xx} can be simply found from equation (21) for both short times $(t \ll \gamma^{-1})$:

$$\Delta x_t^2 \approx \Delta x_0^2 + 2D_{xx}t, \qquad \Delta p_t^2 \approx \Delta p_0^2 + 2(D_{pp} - \gamma \Delta p_0^2)t, \qquad (22)$$

and long times $(t \gg \gamma^{-1})$:

$$\Delta x_t^2 \approx 2 \left(D_{xx} + \frac{D_{pp}}{M^2 \gamma^2} \right) t, \qquad \Delta p_t^2 \approx \frac{D_{pp}}{\gamma}.$$
(23)

Equations (22) and (23) reveal the critical role of D_{pp} and D_{xx} . In particular, for small times Δx_t^2 depends only on D_{xx} , which shows that the D_{xx} term has an important role in this time region.

It is possible to calculate the evolution of the purity, $tr(\hat{\rho}^2)_t$, of the initial state of equation (17). This quantity, bounded by 0 and 1, is related to the linear entropy and is equal to 1 for pure states. Any difference from 1 means a loss of purity of the state. The purity of the Gaussian state is plotted in figure 2 as a function of time. The figure shows that the decoherence process occurs on a time scale much smaller than the relaxation time of the particle, which is of the order of γ^{-1} . The dynamics of our system are then described by the particle density matrix time evolution as a rapid transformation from the pure initial state (17) into a statistical mixture, as is shown in figure 3 in the coordinate representation.

To investigate further the dynamics of this loss of coherence both in x and p space we can compute the spreads $\mathcal{M}_x^2(t)$ and $\mathcal{M}_p^2(t)$, and coherence lengths, $\mathcal{L}_x(t)$ and $\mathcal{L}_p(t)$, using their general definition in [22] in terms of traces over the density matrix of the particle:

$$\mathcal{M}_{x}^{2}(t) = \frac{\text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^{2}\hat{x}^{2}) + \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}\hat{x}\hat{\rho}\hat{x})}{\text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^{2})} - 2\left(\frac{\text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^{2}\hat{x})}{\text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^{2})}\right)^{2},$$
(24)



Figure 2. Behaviour in time of $tr(\hat{\rho}^2)_t$.



Figure 3. Left: absolute value of the initial density matrix (17). Right: $|\rho(x, x', t^*)|$, where $t^* \ll \gamma^{-1}$. The suppression of the off-diagonal terms reflects the onset of decoherence before significant spreading has occurred.

$$\mathcal{L}_x^2(t) = \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^2 \hat{x}^2) - \operatorname{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{x} \hat{\rho} \hat{x})}{\operatorname{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^2)},\tag{25}$$

with similar expressions for the momentum spread and coherence length. For pure states $\hat{\rho}^2 = \hat{\rho}$ and therefore both spread and coherence length become equal to the respective width of the state, e.g. $\mathcal{M}_x(t) = \mathcal{L}_x(t) = \Delta x_t$. However, in the presence of the interaction the state of the particle loses its initial purity and the two quantities differ. While $\mathcal{M}_x(t)$ gives the extension of the state, $\mathcal{L}_x(t)$ gives the zone inside the state extension, where coherence has not yet been lost at time t [19].

For an initial Gaussian wave packet the spread $\mathcal{M}_x(t)$ corresponds to the width of the reduced density matrix along the main diagonal, $\mathcal{M}_x(t) = \Delta x_t = \int \rho(x, x, t)x^2 - (\int \rho(x, x, t)x)^2$, while the coherence length $\mathcal{L}_x(t)$ gives analogously the width of the reduced density matrix along the main skew diagonal $\mathcal{L}_x(t) = \int \rho(x, -x, t)x^2 - (\int \rho(x, -x, t)x)^2$.

The ratio $\mathcal{L}_x(t)/\mathcal{M}_x(t)$ gives a dimensionless measurement of the loss of coherence. It is interesting to note that for an initial Gaussian wave packet [23] this ratio is directly connected to tr($\hat{\rho}^2$) and is equal in both the position and momentum representations. This property is also found in our system where the following relations are obtained

$$\operatorname{tr}(\hat{\rho}^{2})_{t} = 1 - S_{\text{lin}} = \frac{\mathcal{L}_{x}(t)}{\Delta x_{t}} = \frac{\mathcal{L}_{p}(t)}{\Delta p_{t}} = \frac{\hbar/2}{\sqrt{4a_{t}c_{t} - b_{t}^{2}}}.$$
(26)

From equations (26) and (20) we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{x}(t)\Delta p_{t} = \mathcal{L}_{p}(t)\Delta x_{t} = \frac{\hbar}{2}\sqrt{\frac{1}{1 - b_{t}^{2}/4a_{t}c_{t}}} \ge \frac{\hbar}{2},$$
(27)

which can be seen as a particular case of the generalized uncertainty relation derived in [22].

The squares of the coherence lengths in the two representations are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{x}^{2}(t) = \frac{\hbar^{2} a_{t}/2}{4a_{t}c_{t} - b_{t}^{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_{p}^{2}(t) = \frac{\hbar^{2} c_{t}/2}{4a_{t}c_{t} - b_{t}^{2}}.$$
(28)

It is instructive to consider the evolution of the coherence lengths at short times ($t \ll \gamma^{-1}$):

$$\mathcal{L}_{x}^{2}(t) \approx \Delta x_{0}^{2} \left[1 - 2 \left(\frac{D_{pp}}{\Delta p_{0}^{2}} - \gamma \right) t \right], \qquad \mathcal{L}_{p}^{2}(t) \approx \Delta p_{0}^{2} \left(1 - 2 \frac{D_{xx}}{\Delta x_{0}^{2}} t \right), \tag{29}$$

and for large times $(t \gg \gamma^{-1})$:

$$\mathcal{L}_x^2(t) \approx \frac{\hbar^2}{4\Delta p_t^2} \approx \frac{\hbar^2 \gamma}{4D_{pp}}, \qquad \mathcal{L}_p^2(t) \approx \frac{\hbar^2}{4\Delta x_t^2}.$$
 (30)

Equations (29) and (30) show the role of D_{pp} and D_{xx} in these evolutions, i.e. in the decoherence process. In particular, for small times the momentum coherence length $\mathcal{L}_p^2(t)$ depends only on the position diffusion D_{xx} , showing again the relevance of this term in this time region. This behaviour of $\mathcal{L}_p^2(t)$ can be understood by comparing it with that of $\mathcal{L}_x^2(t)$ which, as it is independent of D_{xx} , also holds in the Caldeira–Leggett model. Indeed, from equations (29) and (22) we see that as $\mathcal{L}_x^2(t)$ and Δp_t^2 both depend on the factor $(D_{pp}/\Delta p_0^2 - \gamma)$, and the same occurs for $\mathcal{L}_p^2(t)$ and Δx_t^2 , which both depend on D_{xx} .

3.2. Schrödinger cat state

The second configuration that we investigate is an initial Schrödinger cat state with a model wavefunction of the form

$$\psi(x,0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\left[1 + \exp\left(-\frac{l^2}{8\sigma^2}\right)\right]}(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}}} \times \left\{ \exp\left[-\frac{\left(x - \frac{l}{2}\right)^2}{4\sigma^2} - i\frac{Mv}{\hbar}x\right] + \exp\left[-\frac{\left(x + \frac{l}{2}\right)^2}{4\sigma^2} + i\frac{Mv}{\hbar}x\right] \right\},$$
(31)

where σ is the width of two wave packets initially placed at a distance *l* with one moving towards the other with an initial velocity *v*. Such states are interesting because of their potentially long-range coherence properties and the extreme sensitivity of this to environmental decoherence [24].

In the absence of any interactions, the behaviour of the diagonal reduced density matrix elements is given by

$$\rho(x, x, t) = \frac{1}{2(1 + \exp\left[-\frac{l^2}{8\sigma^2}\right])\sqrt{2\pi\left(\sigma^2 + \frac{\hbar^2 t^2}{4M^2 \sigma^2}\right)}} \left\{ \exp\left[-\frac{\left(x - \frac{l}{2} + vt\right)^2}{2\left(\sigma^2 + \frac{\hbar^2 t^2}{4M^2 \sigma^2}\right)}\right] + \exp\left[-\frac{x^2 + \left(\frac{l}{2} - vt\right)^2}{2\left(\sigma^2 + \frac{\hbar^2 t^2}{4M^2 \sigma^2}\right)}\right] + \exp\left[-\frac{x^2 + \left(\frac{l}{2} - vt\right)^2}{2\left(\sigma^2 + \frac{\hbar^2 t^2}{4M^2 \sigma^2}\right)}\right] \times \cos\left[\frac{4Mv\sigma^2}{2\left(\sigma^2 + \frac{\hbar^2 t^2}{4M^2 \sigma^2}\right)}x\right] \right\}.$$
(32)



Figure 4. Free evolution of the diagonal reduced density matrix elements of equation (32). Used values (SI): $l = 4 \times 10^{-7}$, $\sigma = 0.73 \times 10^{-7}$, $v = 10^{-4}$.

This probability distribution is the sum of three contributions. The first two clearly correspond to a pair of separately expanding (freely spreading) wave packets, while the third term represents an interference term, responsible for the central peak present in figure 4, which is a plot of equation (32). This is exactly as would be expected for such undamped evolution.

In appendix B, the time evolution is computed from the initial state of equation (31) using both the procedure described in equation (9) and the linearity of equation (7). Along the x = x' diagonal we find

$$\rho(x, x, t) = \frac{1}{2\left[1 + \exp\left(-\frac{l^2}{8\sigma^2}\right)\right] 2\sqrt{\pi\bar{a}_t}} \left\{ \exp\left[-\frac{(x + \bar{d}_t)^2}{4\bar{a}_t}\right] + \exp\left[-\frac{(x - \bar{d}_t)^2}{4\bar{a}_t}\right] + \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 - |\bar{d}_t|^2}{4\bar{a}_t} - \frac{2M^2v^2\sigma^2}{\hbar^2} - \frac{l^2}{8\sigma^2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{|\bar{d}_t|x}{2\bar{a}_t}\right),$$
(33)

with the evolution of the various coefficients given in equation (B.4). Equation (33) reduces to equation (32) in the absence of any interaction, as may readily be verified by substituting $\lim_{\gamma\to 0} \Gamma/\gamma = t$ and $D_{pp} = D_{xx} = 0$. This probability distribution is again the sum of three contributions. In order to investigate the behaviour of the interference term, we compute the attenuation coefficient W_t [25], defined as the ratio of the factor multiplying the cosine interference term to twice the geometric mean of the first two terms:

$$W_t = \exp\left[\frac{\bar{d}_t^2 + |\tilde{d}_t|^2}{4a_t} - \frac{l^2}{8\sigma^2} - \frac{2M^2v^2\sigma^2}{\hbar^2}\right].$$
 (34)

In the free case $W_t = 1$ for all times, corresponding to a full coherence. In the presence of interaction W_t decays quickly, as pictured in figure 5, which shows the rapid destruction of the interference with time.

By expanding W_t , for small times, in a Taylor series we find

$$W_t \approx 1 - D_{xx} \left(\frac{l^2}{4\sigma^2} + \frac{4M^2 v^2 \sigma^2}{\hbar^2} \right) t.$$
(35)

This equation shows that for very short times the attenuation factor decays with a characteristic time τ_D given by

$$\tau_D = \left[D_{xx} \left(\frac{l^2}{4\sigma^2} + \frac{4M^2 v^2 \sigma^2}{\hbar^2} \right) \right]^{-1}.$$
(36)



Figure 5. Evolution of the attenuation coefficient W_t .

The interaction with the environment leads to the destruction of the interference term. The initial decay of W_t , which characterizes this, is due solely to the presence of the D_{xx} term in equation (1). All of the decoherence occurs on a very short time scale and is due entirely to this diffusion.

In order to investigate further the dynamics of this loss of coherence in x and p space we compute the spreads, $\mathcal{M}_x^2(t)$ and $\mathcal{M}_p^2(t)$, and coherence lengths, $\mathcal{L}_x(t)$ and $\mathcal{L}_p(t)$ defined in equations (24) and (25). By using equation (B.7) we obtain the spatial spread and the coherence length at time t:

$$\mathcal{M}_{x}^{2}(t) = \frac{1}{8\bar{C}_{t}} + \frac{1}{8\bar{C}_{t}^{2}} \left\{ \bar{E}_{t}^{2} \exp\left(\frac{\bar{E}_{t}^{2}}{2\bar{C}_{t}} - 2\tilde{F}_{t}\right) - \tilde{E}_{t}^{2} \exp\left(-\frac{\tilde{E}_{t}^{2}}{2\bar{C}_{t}} - 2\bar{F}_{t}\right) + \left[\left(\bar{E}_{t}^{2} - \tilde{E}_{t}^{2}\right)\cos\alpha - 2\bar{E}_{t}\tilde{E}_{t}\sin\alpha\right]\exp(-\beta)\right\}$$

$$\div \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{\bar{E}_{t}^{2}}{2\bar{A}_{t}} - 2\bar{F}_{t}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{\tilde{D}_{t}^{2}}{2\bar{A}_{t}} - 2\tilde{F}_{t}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{\bar{D}_{t}^{2}}{2\bar{A}_{t}} - 2\bar{F}_{t}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{\bar{E}_{t}^{2}}{2\bar{A}_{t}} - 2\bar{F}_{t}\right)\right\}$$

$$+ \exp\left(-\frac{\tilde{E}_{t}^{2}}{2\bar{A}_{t}} - 2\tilde{F}_{t}\right)\right\}, \qquad (37)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{x}^{2}(t) = \frac{1}{8\bar{A}_{t}} + \frac{1}{8\bar{A}_{t}^{2}} \left\{ \tilde{D}_{t}^{2} \exp\left(\frac{\tilde{D}_{t}^{2}}{2\bar{A}_{t}} - 2\tilde{F}_{t}\right) - \bar{D}_{t}^{2} \exp\left(-\frac{\bar{D}_{t}^{2}}{2\bar{A}_{t}} - 2\bar{F}_{t}\right) + \left[\left(\tilde{D}_{t}^{2} - \bar{D}_{t}^{2}\right)\cos\alpha - 2\bar{D}_{t}\tilde{D}_{t}\sin\alpha\right]\exp(-\beta)\right\}$$

$$\div \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{\bar{E}_{t}^{2}}{2\bar{A}_{t}} - 2\bar{F}_{t}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{\tilde{D}_{t}^{2}}{2\bar{A}_{t}} - 2\tilde{F}_{t}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{\bar{D}_{t}^{2}}{2\bar{A}_{t}} - 2\bar{F}_{t}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{\bar{E}_{t}^{2}}{2\bar{A}_{t}} - 2\tilde{F}_{t}\right)\right\}, \qquad (38)$$

where $\alpha = (\bar{C}_t \bar{D}_t \tilde{D}_t + \bar{A}_t \bar{E}_t \tilde{E}_t)/4\bar{A}_t \bar{C}_t + \bar{F}_t + \bar{F}_t$ and $\beta = [\bar{C}_t \bar{D}_t^2 - \bar{C}_t \tilde{D}_t^2 + A\tilde{E}_t^2 - \bar{A}_t \bar{E}_t^2]/8\bar{A}_t \bar{C}_t$. In order to obtain the same quantities in momentum space we need the corresponding reduced density matrix. If we perform a double Fourier transform we obtain $\rho(p, p', t)$ from $\rho(x, x', t)$. The result has the same form as equation (B.7) with the following



Figure 6. The evolution of the product $\mathcal{L}_x(t)\mathcal{M}_p(t)$.

substitutions:

$$\begin{split} \bar{A}_{t} &\to \frac{\bar{A}_{t}}{Z_{t}}, \qquad \bar{B}_{t} \to \frac{-\bar{B}_{t}}{\bar{Z}_{t}}, \qquad \bar{C}_{t} \to \frac{\bar{C}_{t}}{Z_{t}}, \\ \bar{D}_{t} &\to 2\hbar \frac{\bar{B}_{t}\bar{D}_{t} + 2\bar{A}_{t}\bar{E}_{t}}{Z_{t}}, \qquad \tilde{D}_{t} \to -2\hbar \frac{\bar{B}_{t}\tilde{D}_{t} + 2\bar{A}_{t}\tilde{E}_{t}}{Z_{t}}, \qquad \bar{E}_{t} \to 2\hbar \frac{\bar{B}_{t}\bar{E}_{t} - 2\bar{C}_{t}\bar{D}_{t}}{Z_{t}}, \\ \tilde{E}_{t} \to 2\hbar \frac{\bar{B}_{t}\bar{E}_{t} - 2\bar{C}_{t}\tilde{D}_{t}}{Z_{t}}, \qquad \bar{F}_{t} \to \bar{F}_{t} + \frac{\ln Z_{t}}{2} + 4\hbar^{2} \frac{\bar{C}_{t}\bar{D}_{t}^{2} - \bar{B}_{t}\bar{D}_{t}\bar{E}_{t} - \bar{A}_{t}\bar{E}_{t}^{2}}{Z_{t}}, \end{split}$$
(39)
$$\tilde{F}_{t} \to \tilde{F}_{t} + \frac{\ln Z_{t}}{2} + 4\hbar^{2} \frac{\bar{C}_{t}\tilde{D}_{t}^{2} - \bar{B}_{t}\tilde{D}_{t}\tilde{E}_{t} - \bar{A}_{t}\tilde{E}_{t}^{2}}{Z_{t}}, \end{split}$$

where $Z_t = 4(\bar{B}_t^2 + 4\bar{A}_t\bar{C}_t)\hbar^2$. By using these substitutions in equations (37) and (38) one obtains the corresponding $\mathcal{M}_p^2(t)$ and $\mathcal{L}_p^2(t)$. These quantities satisfy $\mathcal{M}_x(0) = \mathcal{L}_x(0)$ and $\mathcal{M}_p(0) = \mathcal{L}_p(0)$ at the initial time, while

These quantities satisfy $\mathcal{M}_x(0) = \mathcal{L}_x(0)$ and $\mathcal{M}_p(0) = \mathcal{L}_p(0)$ at the initial time, while for large times their increase is the same as the corresponding quantities Δx_t , $l_x(t)$, Δp_t and $l_p(t)$ in equations (23) and (30) found for the single Gaussian wave packet. In particular, it has been shown that a generalized uncertainty relation holds [22]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{x}(t)\mathcal{M}_{p}(t) \ge \frac{\hbar}{2}.$$
(40)

This product is plotted in figure 6 for our cat state, which shows how the uncertainty relation is satisfied at all times.

4. Unphysical parameter region

As was stated in the introduction, one of the key differences between equation (1) and the Caldeira–Leggett equation is the presence of the D_{xx} term. The latter master equation, of course, is not of the Lindblad type and pathological behaviour has been observed by several authors [13, 18, 19] if γ is too large or the wave packet width too small (smaller than the thermal de Broglie wavelength of the object). If we consider the time derivative of the linear entropy for small times, then we would expect a positive value for an initial pure state, for which $S_{\text{lin}} = 0$. In our model, we find this time derivative to be

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}S_{\mathrm{lin}}\Big|_{t=0} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathrm{tr}\,\hat{\rho}^2\Big|_{t=0} = -2\langle\dot{\hat{\rho}}\rangle = \frac{4}{\hbar^2}\Delta p_0^2 D_{xx} + \frac{4}{\hbar^2}\Delta x_0^2 D_{pp} - \gamma, \quad (41)$$



Figure 7. Behaviour of the derivative of the linear entropy at t = 0 as a function of q.

where the brackets indicate the average over a general state of the Brownian particle and we have used equation (1) to obtain the last equality. If we use $\Delta x_0 \Delta p_0 = \frac{\hbar}{2}$, then the positivity of equation (41) is assured for all possible states only if

$$D_{xx}D_{pp} \ge \left(\frac{\hbar\gamma}{4}\right)^2.$$
 (42)

This is the same condition as that found in [13] by requiring an initial reduction in the probability of remaining in the initial pure state.

Even if in our model this condition is satisfied by our parameters of equations (3) and (4) it is interesting to work near the region of its validity. In fact, we can use our master equation (1) and look for anomalous features when equation (42) is not satisfied. For example, after substituting $D_{pp} = q \frac{(\hbar \gamma/4)^2}{D_{xx}}$, we can vary q around one. In figure 7, equation (41) is plotted as a function of q.

This figure clearly shows that the rate of change of linear entropy is negative at small times if the Lindblad condition is not satisfied.

5. Conclusions and discussion

In this paper, we have used the Lindblad master equation for Brownian motion found in [12, 13] to analyse wave packet dynamics. To this end, we have provided a simple and clear scheme by which we can obtain the exact time evolution starting from general initial conditions.

We have applied this procedure to find the time evolution of two initial states: the first in which the Brownian particle is represented by a Gaussian wave packet and the second in which it is represented by a Schrödinger cat state. In each case, we have provided expressions for the relevant quantities in both the position and momentum representations. There are complementary aspects of the two representations, such as generalized uncertainty relations not found when one focuses only on the spatial features.

We have analysed further the dissipation and decoherence, in particular focusing on the reduced density matrix evolution in the coordinate representation. We have obtained the evolution of the wave packet widths and the coherence lengths for both initial conditions and in both the position and momentum representations. The Gaussian wave packet shows a very rapid decoherence on a time scale much shorter than the relaxation time of the system. In the momentum representation this decoherence depends only on the position diffusion coefficient, D_{xx} , present in the master equation. The D_{xx} term is also the only term responsible for the

increase in the spatial width of the wave packet for small times. The term containing this coefficient is absent in many previous master equations which have been used to describe friction and Brownian motion.

The evolution of a Schrödinger cat state without any frictional effects shows coherent oscillations caused by interference between the two components of the wavefunction. Our analysis shows that such an interference is damped on time scales again much shorter than the relaxation time; decoherence is very rapid. We have quantified the decoherence using an attenuation coefficient for the oscillatory terms. This attenuation coefficient also depends on D_{xx} . The results of the computation of generalized variances and coherence lengths show that for large times these quantities behave in a similar way to the single Gaussian wave packet case and that a generalized uncertainty relation between variances and coherence lengths holds for all times.

Finally, we have generalized the system to look at the case where the product of the diffusion coefficients is not large enough to guarantee that the master equation is of Lindblad form. Here, we see a clear signature of unphysical behaviour in the linear entropy, which is associated with probabilities outside the physically meaningful range between 0 and 1.

The measurement-based quantum description of friction illustrated in this paper provides a general framework for investigating the role that the various terms in the master equation play in decoherence. It is clear from our analysis that the two extra diffusion terms not associated with the temperature of the system are necessary to ensure complete positivity of the density operator at all times. This is consistent with previous work [12, 13]. The minimum sizes of these terms are governed by an uncertainty relation, in line with their wholly quantum origin. Diffusion in one observable is associated with localization in the complementary one. These localizations occur each time a measurement is made. The consequent diffusion, and in particular that of position, which has no analogue in the Caldeira–Leggett reservoir-based approach, must be taken account of in any complete quantum description of friction. The cost of not doing so is illustrated emphatically here; the resultant incomplete equation cannot describe decoherence correctly, because it is not valid on the short time scales during which decoherence occurs.

The generality of the measurement-based approach reflects the generality of the Kraus formalism of quantum measurements on which it is based, which makes no reference to any particular measurement device. Consequently, the theory presented here is not specific to any particular frictional or Brownian system. Such a linkage could in principle be found for particular systems and would amount to an *ab initio* quantum theory of friction. No such theory is known at this time.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank Professor G Compagno for useful discussions.

Appendix A

In this appendix, we use the method of characteristics [21] to solve the master equation of equation (7). The first step is to rewrite equation (7) as

$$1\frac{\partial\rho(k,\Delta_t,t)}{\partial t} + \left(\gamma\Delta_t - \frac{k}{M}\right)\frac{\partial\rho(k,\Delta_t,t)}{\partial\Delta_t} = -\left(D_{pp}\Delta_t^2 + D_{xx}k^2\right)\rho(k,\Delta_t,t).$$
(A.1)

The curves in the t, Δ_t plane, parameterized by l and defined by the relation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{1} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\Delta_t}{\gamma\Delta_t - \frac{k}{M}} = \mathrm{d}l,\tag{A.2}$$

are called the characteristic curves of the partial differential equations. Equation (A.2) may be written as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{1} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\Delta_t}{\gamma\Delta_t - \frac{k}{M}} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho(k, \Delta_t, t)}{\left(D_{pp}\Delta_t^2 + D_{xx}k^2\right)\rho(k, \Delta_t, t)}.$$
(A.3)

This pair of equations, valid on each characteristic curve, enables a general solution of the partial differential equation (A.1) to be found as follows. We perform a first integration using the first equality of equation (A.3), finding one arbitrary constant W:

$$W = e^{-\gamma t} \left(\Delta_t - \frac{k}{\gamma M} \right), \tag{A.4}$$

from which it follows at t = 0

$$W = \Delta_0 - \frac{k}{\gamma M},\tag{A.5}$$

as k is independent of time. Now we perform a second integration using the second equality in equation (A.3), finding a second arbitrary constant Z:

$$Z = \frac{1}{\rho(k, \Delta_t, t)} \exp\left[-\frac{D_{pp}\Delta_t^2}{2\gamma} - \frac{D_{pp}k\Delta_t}{M\gamma^2}\right] \left(\Delta_t - \frac{k}{\gamma M}\right)^{-\frac{k^2}{\gamma}(D_{xx} + \frac{D_{pp}}{M^2\gamma^2})},\tag{A.6}$$

from which it follows at t = 0

$$Z = \frac{1}{\rho(k, \Delta_0, 0)} \exp\left[-\frac{D_{pp}\Delta_0^2}{2\gamma} - \frac{D_{pp}k\Delta_0}{M\gamma^2}\right] \left(\Delta_0 - \frac{k}{\gamma M}\right)^{-\frac{k^2}{\gamma}(D_{xx} + \frac{D_{pp}}{M^2\gamma^2})}.$$
 (A.7)

By using equation (A.7) in equation (A.6) we find for $\rho(k, \Delta_t, t)$

$$\rho(k, \Delta_t, t) = \rho(k, \Delta_0, 0) \exp\left[-\frac{D_{pp}\left(\Delta_t^2 - \Delta_0^2\right)}{2\gamma} - \frac{D_{pp}k\left(\Delta_t - \Delta_0\right)}{M\gamma^2}\right] \times \left(\frac{\Delta_t - \frac{k}{\gamma M}}{\Delta_0 - \frac{k}{\gamma M}}\right)^{-\frac{k^2}{\gamma}\left(D_{xx} + \frac{D_{pp}}{M^2\gamma^2}\right)}.$$
(A.8)

In order to express Δ_t as a function of Δ_0 we use equations (A.4) and (A.5), finding

$$\Delta_0 = \Delta_t e^{-\gamma t} + \frac{k}{\gamma M} (1 - e^{-\gamma t}). \tag{A.9}$$

Substituting the previous expression for Δ_0 into equation (A.8), we finally obtain for $\rho(k, \Delta_t, t)$:

$$\rho(k, \Delta_t, t) = \rho\left(k, \Delta_t(1-\Gamma) + \frac{k}{\gamma M}\Gamma, 0\right) \exp\left\{\left[-\left(D_{xx} + \frac{D_{pp}}{M^2 \gamma^2}\right)t + \frac{D_{pp}}{M^2 \gamma^3}\left(\frac{\gamma^2}{2} + \Gamma\right)\right]k^2 - \frac{D_{pp}\gamma^2}{M\gamma^2}k\Delta_t - \frac{D_{pp}\Gamma(2-\Gamma)}{2\gamma}\Delta_t^2\right\},$$
(A.10)

where $\Gamma = 1 - \exp(-\gamma t)$.

9450

Appendix B

In this appendix, we obtain the time evolution of the Schrödinger cat initial state given in equation (31).

Computing the reduced density matrix $\rho(x, x', 0)$ corresponding to equation (31) and using equation (6) to move to the (k, Δ_t) representation we obtain

$$\rho(k, \Delta_0, 0) = \frac{1}{2(1 + \exp\left[-\frac{l^2}{8\sigma^2}\right])} \left\{ \exp\left[-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}k^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{8\sigma^2}\Delta_0^2 + i\frac{l}{2}k - iMv\Delta_0\right] \right. \\ \left. + \exp\left[-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}k^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{8\sigma^2}\Delta_0^2 - i\frac{l}{2}k + Mv\Delta_0\right] \right. \\ \left. + \left(\exp\left[-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}k^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{8\sigma^2}\Delta_0^2 - \frac{2Mv\sigma^2}{\hbar}k - \frac{\hbar l}{4\sigma^2}\Delta_0\right] \right. \\ \left. + \exp\left[-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}k^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{8\sigma^2}\Delta_0^2 + \frac{2Mv\sigma^2}{\hbar}k + \frac{\hbar l}{4\sigma^2}\Delta_0\right] \right) \\ \left. \times \exp\left[-\frac{2M^2v^2\sigma^2}{\hbar^2} - \frac{l^2}{8\sigma^2}\right] \right\}.$$
(B.1)

The form of this initial condition is of the kind

$$\rho(k, \Delta_0, 0) = \sum_{j=1}^{4} \rho_j(k, \Delta_0, 0), \tag{B.2}$$

where comparing with equation (11) we have for the various coefficients the initial values

$$\begin{aligned} a_0^{1,2,3,4} &= \frac{\sigma^2}{2}, \qquad b_0^{1,2,3,4} = 0, \\ c_0^{1,2,3,4} &= \frac{\hbar^2}{8\sigma^2}, \qquad d_0^1 = -d_0^2 = -\frac{l}{2}, \qquad d_0^3 = -d_0^4 = -i\frac{2M\nu\sigma^2}{\hbar}, \\ e_0^1 &= -e_0^2 = M\nu, \qquad e_0^3 = -e_0^4 = -i\frac{\hbar d}{4\sigma^2}, \qquad f_0^{1,2} = \ln 2\left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{d^2}{8\sigma^2}\right)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$
$$f_0^{3,4} &= \ln 2\left(1 - \exp\left[-\frac{d^2}{8\sigma^2}\right]\right) + \frac{2M^2\nu^2\sigma^2}{\hbar^2} + \frac{l^2}{8\sigma^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By using equation (12) it is possible to compute the time evolution of all the coefficients of the four parts of equation (B.2):

$$\begin{aligned} a_t^{1,2,3,4} &= \bar{a}_t = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} + \frac{\hbar^2}{8\sigma^2} \frac{\gamma^2}{M^2 \gamma^2} + \left(D_{xx} + \frac{D_{pp}}{M^2 \gamma^2} \right) t - \frac{D_{pp}}{M^2 \gamma^3} \left(\frac{\Gamma^2}{2} + \Gamma \right), \\ b_t^{1,2,3,4} &= \bar{b}_t = \frac{\hbar^2}{8\sigma^2} \frac{2\Gamma(1-\Gamma)}{M\gamma} + \frac{D_{pp}\Gamma^2}{M\gamma^2}, \qquad c_t^{1,2,3,4} = \bar{c}_t = \frac{\hbar^2}{8\sigma^2} (1-\Gamma)^2 + \frac{D_{pp}\Gamma(2-\Gamma)}{2\gamma}, \\ d_t^1 &= -d_t^2 = \bar{d}_t = -\frac{l}{2} + \frac{\nu\Gamma}{\gamma}, \qquad d_t^3 = -d_t^4 = \tilde{d}_t = -i\left(\frac{2M\nu\sigma^2}{\hbar} + \frac{\hbar l}{4\sigma^2} \frac{\Gamma}{M\gamma}\right), \qquad (B.4) \\ e_t^1 &= -e_t^2 = \bar{e}_t = M\nu(1-\Gamma), \qquad e_t^3 = -e_t^4 = \tilde{e}_t = -i\frac{\hbar l}{4\sigma^2} (1-\Gamma), \\ f_t^{1,2} &= f_0^{1,2} = \bar{f}_t, \qquad f_t^{3,4} = f_0^{3,4} = \tilde{f}_t. \end{aligned}$$

Then using equation (15) we can move to coordinate representation obtaining for the reduced density matrix

$$\rho(x, x', t) = \sum_{j=1}^{4} \rho_j(x, x', t) = \sum_{j=1}^{4} \exp\left[-A_t^j(x - x')^2 - iB_t^j(x - x')(x + x') - C_t^j(x + x')^2 - iD_t^j(x - x') - E_t^j(x + x') - F_t^j\right],$$
(B.5)

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{t}^{1,2,3,4} &= \bar{A}_{t} = \frac{4\bar{a}_{t}\bar{c}_{t} - \bar{b}_{t}^{2}}{4\hbar^{2}\bar{a}_{t}}, \qquad B_{t}^{1,2,3,4} = \bar{B}_{t} = -\frac{\bar{b}_{t}}{4\hbar\bar{a}_{t}}, \qquad C_{t}^{1,2,3,4} = \bar{C}_{t} = \frac{1}{16\bar{a}_{t}}, \\ D_{t}^{1} &= -D_{t}^{2} = \bar{D}_{t} = \frac{2\bar{a}_{t}\bar{e}_{t} - \bar{b}_{t}\bar{d}_{t}}{2\hbar\bar{a}_{t}}, \qquad D_{t}^{3} = -D4_{t} = \frac{2\bar{a}_{t}\bar{e}_{t} - \bar{b}_{t}\bar{d}_{t}}{2\hbar\bar{a}_{t}} = \tilde{D}_{t}, \\ E_{t}^{1} &= -E_{t}^{2} = \bar{E}_{t} = \frac{\bar{d}_{t}}{4\bar{a}_{t}}, \qquad E_{t}^{3} = -E_{t}^{4} = \tilde{E}_{t} = \frac{\bar{d}_{t}}{4\bar{a}_{t}}, \end{aligned}$$
(B.6)
$$\exp\left(-F_{t}^{1,2}\right) = \exp(-\bar{F}_{t}) = \frac{\exp(-\bar{f}_{t})}{2\sqrt{\pi\bar{a}_{t}}} \exp\left[-\frac{\bar{d}_{t}^{2}}{4\bar{a}_{t}}\right], \\ \exp(-F_{t}^{3,4}) = \exp(-\tilde{F}_{t}) = \frac{\exp(-\bar{f}_{t})}{2\sqrt{\pi\bar{a}_{t}}} \exp\left[-\frac{\bar{d}_{t}^{2}}{4\bar{a}_{t}}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Next from the last equation in equation (B.5) it follows that

$$\rho(x, x', t) = \exp[-\bar{A}_t(x - x')^2 - i\bar{B}_t(x - x')(x + x') - \bar{C}_t(x + x')^2] \\ \times \{\exp[-i\bar{D}_t(x - x') - \bar{E}_t(x + x') - \bar{F}_t] \exp[+i\bar{D}_t(x - x') + \bar{E}_t(x + x') - \bar{F}_t] \\ + \exp[-i\tilde{D}_t(x - x') - \tilde{E}_t(x + x') - \tilde{F}_t] + \exp[+i\tilde{D}_t(x - x') \\ + \tilde{E}_t(x + x') - \tilde{F}_t] \}.$$
(B.7)

Along the diagonal we have

$$\rho(x, x, t) = \frac{1}{2\left[1 + \exp\left(-\frac{l^2}{8\sigma^2}\right)\right] 2\sqrt{\pi\bar{a}_t}} \left\{ \exp\left[-\frac{(x + \bar{d}_t)^2}{4\bar{a}_t}\right] + \exp\left[-\frac{(x - \bar{d}_t)^2}{4\bar{a}_t}\right] + \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 - |\bar{d}_t|^2}{4\bar{a}_t} - \frac{2M^2v^2\sigma^2}{\hbar^2} - \frac{l^2}{8\sigma^2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{|\bar{d}_t|x}{2\bar{a}_t}\right) \right\}.$$
(B.8)

References

- [1] Caldeira A O and Leggett A J 1983 Physica 121A 587
- [2] Hu B L, Paz J P and Zhang Y 1992 Phys. Rev. D 45 2843
- [3] Sandulescu A and Scutaru 1987 Ann. Phys., NY 173 277
- [4] Gallis M R 1993 Phys. Rev. A 48 1028
- [5] Joos E and Zeh H D 1985 Z. Phys. B 59 223
- [6] Diòsi L 1995 Europhys. Lett. 30 63
- [7] Horneberger K and Sipe J E 2003 Phys. Rev. A 68 012105
- [8] Scott A J and Milburn G J 2001 Phys. Rev. A 63 042101
- [9] Mensky M B and Stenholm S 2003 Phys. Lett. A 308 243
- [10] Breuer H P and Petruccione F 2002 The Theory of Open Quantum Systems (Oxford: Oxford University Press)
- [11] Zwanzig R 1973 J. Stat. Phys. 9 215
- [12] Barnett S M and Cresser J D 2005 Phys. Rev. A 72 022107
- [13] Barnett S M, Jeffers J and Cresser J D 2006 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 18 S401
- [14] Lindblad G 1976 Commun. Math. Phys. 48 119
- [15] Cresser J D, Barnett S M, Jeffers J and Pegg D T 2006 Opt. Commun. 264 352

- [16] Busch P, Grabowski M and Lahti P 1995 Operational Quantum Physics (Berlin: Springer)
- [17] Ambegaokar V 1991 Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. 95 400
- [18] Stenholm S 1994 Quantum dynamics of simple systems Proc. 44th Scottish Universities Summer School in Physics ed G-L Oppo, S M Barnett, E Riis and M Wilkinson (Bristol: Institute of Physics Publishing)
- [19] Joos E, Zeh H D, Kiefer C, Giulini D, Kupsch J and Stamatescu I O 2002 Decoherence and the Appearance of a Classical World in Quantum Theory 2nd edn (New York: Springer)
- [20] Unruh W G and Zurek W H 1989 Phys. Rev. D 40 1071
- [21] Barnett S M and Radmore P M 1997 Methods in Theoretical Quantum Optics (New York: Oxford University Press)
- [22] Franke-Arnold S, Huyet G and Barnett S M 2001 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 34 945
- [23] Morikawa M 1990 Phys. Rev. D 42 2929
- [24] Brune M, Hagley E, Dreyer J, Maître X, Maali A, Wunderlich C, Raimond J M and Haroche S 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 4887
- [25] Ford G W and O'Connell R F 2001 Phys. Lett. A 286 87